

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Petent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS RO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,110 03/28/20		03/28/2001	Ramanathan Ramanathan	42390P10983	2329
8791	7590	08/26/2005		EXAM	INER
		LOFF TAYLOR & BOULEVARD	LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A		
	TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS AN	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2131	· · · · · ·
				DATE MAILED: 08/26/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
0.00	09/820,110	RAMANATHAN, RAMANATHAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christian La Forgia	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Journal 2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowanclosed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 1.</li> </ul>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated and accomplicate may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/820,110

Art Unit: 2131

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The amendment filed 09 June 2005 has been noted and made of record.
- 2. Claims 1-27 have been presented for examination.

## Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 09 June 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- In response to the Applicant's argument that the prior art does not teach the step of 4. transmitting, by the second digital certificate authority, the twice-signed electronic document to the first digital certificate issuing authority and to the party, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Examiner acknowledges that the Applicant states on page 14 of the amendment filed on 09 June 2005 that the cited portion of the reference recites that the digital information may be transmitted to the customer. The disclosure that the information is sent to the party is enough to read upon the claim limitations, the fact that it may or may not occur is inconsequential. The Applicant is reminded that the use of patents as references is not limited to what the patentees describe as their own inventions or to the problems with which they are concerned, they are part of the literature of the prior art, relevant for all they contain. See MPEP 2123. See In re Heck, 699 F.2d 1331, 1332-33, 216 USPQ 1038, 1039 (Fed. Cir. 1983). A reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill the art, including non-preferred embodiments. See Merck & Co. v. Biocraft Laboratories, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989). See also Celeritas Technologies Ltd. v. Rockwell International Corp., 150 F.3d 1354, 1361, 47 USPQ2d 1516, 1522-23 (Fed. Cir. 1998).

Application/Control Number: 09/820,110 Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

5. Therefore, the cited reference discloses transmitting, by the second digital certificate authority, the twice-signed electronic document to the first digital certificate issuing authority and to the party by disclosing that the possibility for it occurring exists.

6. See further rejections that follow.

### Claim Rejections

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,629,150 to Huded, hereinafter Huded.
- 9. The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.
- 10. As per claims 1, 6, and 11, Huded teaches a method comprising:
  writing a party's authenticating information and a first digital certificate issuing
  authority's authenticating information in an electronic document (Figure 3 [block 322]; column
  3, lines 15-24);

signing, by the first digital certificate issuing authority, the electronic document to obtain a once signed electronic document (Figures 3 [block 321], 4 [block 321]; column 4, lines 7-45);

Application/Control Number: 09/820,110

Art Unit: 2131

transmitting the once signed electronic document to a second digital certificate issuing authority (Figure 2; column 4, lines 46-59, column 6, lines 62-42, i.e. passing the once signed document from container 200 to container 150, transferring digital containers from Vendor A to Vendor B);

signing, by the second digital certificate issuing authority, the once signed electronic document to obtain a twice signed electronic document (Figures 5 [block 521], 6 [block 521]; column 4, lines 46-65); and

transmitting, by the second digital certificate issuing authority, the twice signed electronic document to the first digital certificate issuing authority and to the party (Figures 7, 8; column 4, lines 33-45, column 5, line 49 to column 6, line 7, i.e. transmitting to the original container and the client, or transmitting to the vendor who distribute to clients);

wherein the second digital certificate issuing authority is hierarchically superior to the first digital certificate issuing authority (column 6, lines 21-42, i.e. top level digital containers).

11. Regarding claims 2, 7, and 12, Huded discloses providing, as input to a hash algorithm, the contents of the electronic document (Figure 4 [blocks 230-232]; column 4, lines 7-17);

calculating, by the hash algorithm, a hash value (Figure 4 [blocks 311<sub>x</sub>, 400, 410]; column 4, lines 18-45);

encrypting the hash value using the first digital certificate issuing authority's private key (Figure 4 [blocks 420, 430]; column 4, lines 18-45); and

writing the encrypted hash value in the electronic document (Figure 4 [block 321]; column 4, lines 18-45).

Art Unit: 2131

12. Regarding claims 3, 8, 13, 17, 19, and 21, Huded discloses writing the second digital certificate issuing authority's authenticating information in the once signed electronic document (Figure 5 [block 522]; column 3, lines 15-24, column 4, lines 46-65);

providing, as input to a hash algorithm, the contents of the electronic document (Figure 6 [block 200]; column 4, lines 46-59);

calculating, by the hash algorithm, a hash value (Figure 6 [blocks 500, 510]; column 4, lines 46-59);

encrypting the hash value using the second digital certificate issuing authority's private key (Figure 6 ["Signatory Key" and "DSA"]; column 4, lines 46-59); and

writing the encrypted hash value in the electronic document (Figure 6 [block 521]; column 4, lines 46-59).

- 13. With regards to claims 4, 9, and 14, Huded discloses wherein calculating the hash value comprises providing as input to the hash algorithm at least one of the party's authenticating information, the first digital certificate issuing authority's authenticating information, the digital signature of the first digital certificate issuing authority, or the second digital certificate issuing authority's authenticating information (Figure 4 [blocks 311<sub>x</sub>, 400, 410]; column 4, lines 18-45).
- 14. As per claims 16, 18, and 20, Huded teaches a method comprising:

receiving, from a first digital certificate issuing authority, a once signed electronic document at a second digital certificate issuing authority that is hierarchically superior to the first digital certificate issuing authority (Figure 2; column 4, lines 46-59, column 6, lines 21-42,

Art Unit: 2131

column 6, lines 62-42, i.e. passing the once signed document from container 200 to container 150, transferring digital containers from Vendor A to Vendor B);

writing the second digital certificate issuing authority's authenticating information in the once signed electronic document (Figures 5 [block 522]; column 3, lines 15-24, column 4, lines 46-65); and

signing, by the second digital certificate issuing authority, the once signed electronic document to form a twice signed electronic document (Figures 5 [block 521], 6 [block 521]; column 4, lines 46-65); and

transmitting, by the second digital certificate issuing authority, the twice signed electronic document to the first digital certificate issuing authority and to the party (Figures 7, 8; column 4, lines 33-45, column 5, line 49 to column 6, line 7, i.e. transmitting to the original container and the client, or transmitting to the vendor who distribute to clients).

- 15. Claims 5, 10, 15, and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Huded in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,301,658 to Koehler, hereinafter Koehler.
- 16. The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference

Page 7

Art Unit: 2131

under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

- 17. Regarding claims 5, 10, and 15, Huded teaches wherein writing a party's authenticating information and a first digital certificate issuing authority's authenticating information in an electronic document comprises receiving the party's authenticating information (Figure 1 [block 155], column 3, lines 42-51).
- 18. Huded does not disclose wherein said transmission is made via a secure connection.
- 19. Koehler discloses wherein said transmission is made via a secure connection (column 2, lines 28-34).
- 20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the transmission via a secure connection, since Koehler states at column 2, lines 28-34 that such a modification would serve to validate the authenticity of a client.
- 21. Regarding claims 22-27, Huded does not teach wherein the second digital certificate issuing authority is a root digital certificate issuing authority.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,110 Page 8

Art Unit: 2131

22. Koehler discloses wherein the second digital certificate issuing authority is a root digital certificate issuing authority (column 3, lines 45-60).

23. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the second digital certificate issuing authority as a root certificate issuing authority, since Koehler states at column 3, lines 45-60 that such a modification would establish authentication by verifying a hierarchical chain of digital signatures.

#### Conclusion

- 24. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian La Forgia whose telephone number is (571) 272-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,110 Page 9

Art Unit: 2131

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 27. supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent 28. Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian LaForgia Patent Examiner Art Unit 2131 clf

Promay Examiner AUZY31 Blirlos